

Proposal Title :	Planning proposal to amend N and apply planning controls.	farrickville LEP 2011 to rezo	one 85 Margaret Street, Petersham	
Proposal Summary	The intention of the planning proposal is to amend Marrickville LEP 2011 to rezone the s site from SP2 Educational Establishments to R2 Low Density Residential, and apply plan controls, to enable Petersham TAFE to deal with the subject site as a standalone entity.			
PP Number :	PP_2017_IWEST_002_00	Dop File No :	17/01087	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	09-Jan-2017	LGA covered :	Inner West	
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Inner West Council	
State Electorate :	MARRICKVILLE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : 85	Margaret Street			
Suburb : Pe	etersham City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2049	
Land Parcel : Pa	art of Lot 1 DP749931			
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Helen Wilkins			
Contact Number :	0292746559			
Contact Email :	helen.wilkins@planning.nsw.go	v.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ails			
Contact Name :	Peter Wotton			
Contact Number :	0293352260			
Contact Email :	peter.wotton@innerwest.nsw.go	ov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Martin Cooper			
Contact Number :	0292746582			
Contact Email :	martin.cooper@planning.nsw.go	ov.au		
Land Release Dat	а			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	/:	

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	The Department's Code	of Conduct has been complied with	1.
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? ;	No		
If Yes, comment :	Sydney Region East Brain relation to this planni	anch has not knowingly met with or ing proposal.	communicated with any lobbyist
upporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	 it is consistent with St it is seeking to rezone occupied by a separate existing and future need it will allow the college a standalone entity; and the Office of Strategic 	Lands was consulted and raised no	rategy objectives; ham TAFE college that is the college, surplus to college's campus by a road; cose of or deal with the land as concerns.
	Petersham TAFE college development application proposed new lot create and a width of approxim	In intention to subdivide the larger p e and the subject site at 85 Margare n. The planning proposal includes a ed by the subdivision will have an ar nately 7.5 metres. This is consistent ties in the surrounding area.	t Street, by way of a subdivision plan. The rea of approximately 298sqm
		nded that the Gateway determinatio livision Development Application m	
External Supporting Notes :	owned by the Minister for 85 Margaret Street, Peter house is not used in ass 2014 approved an applic	he site (Lot 1 DP749931) known as 2 or Education containing Petersham rsham, contains a single storey dwo sociation with the TAFE. Determinat cation to carry out internal renovation as 85 Margaret Street as a dwelling.	TAFE. The land referred to as elling house. The dwelling ion No. 201400132 dated 6 June ons and external repairs and

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The objective of the planning proposal is to enable the zoning of the site to reflect its present use as a detached dwelling house consistent with surrounding residential land, to enable the TAFE college to sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of or deal with the land as

a standalone entity.

This is considered adequate.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal seeks to amend Marrickville LEP 2011 for the subject site (approximately 298sqm of Lot 1 DP749931) to:

- rezone the land from SP2 Educational Establishments to R2 Low Density Residential;
- introduce a maximum building height of 9.5 metres; and
- introduce a maximum floor space ratio of 0.6:1.

This is considered adequate.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? $\ensuremath{\text{No}}$

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

2.3 Heritage Conservation

- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

State Environmental Planning Policies

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

The proposal is considered to be consistent with the SEPP. The SEPP has specific planning provisions and development controls for types of infrastructure that includes educational establishments. Practice Note PN 10-001, Zoning for Infrastructure in LEPs, includes principles for zoning infrastructure:

- Principle 3 – Certain special purpose zones should remain as special purpose zones. This Principle states that it is anticipated that only a minority of TAFEs could be considered strategic sites, but specifies certain criteria against which the site should be assessed to determine if the facility is of strategic importance. The proposal includes an assessment against the criteria and concludes that the site is less than the specified area (20 ha), does not provide a range of facilities that can also be used by the surrounding community, and is not of regional significance. As such the TAFE site is not considered a "strategic site".

- Principle 5 – Zoning surplus public land. This Principle states that, where Government land has been classified as surplus, the land should be rezoned to be compatible with surrounding land uses. The subject land has been deemed, by Petersham TAFE, to be surplus to their existing and future needs and the proposal is seeking to rezone the land to R2 Low Density Residential and apply planning controls that are consistent with surrounding land uses.

The planning proposal is consistent with all other SEPPs.

Section 117 Directions

Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

The Direction requires that a planning proposal must not create, alter or reduce existing zonings or reservations of land for public purposes without the approval of the relevant public authority and the Director-General of the Department of Planning (or nominated officer). The proposal is not consistent with the Direction as it will result in the rezoning of land that is currently zoned SP2 Infrastructure (Educational Establishments) to R2 Low Density Residential. However, the land is occupied by a separate single storey dwelling, not used by the college, surplus to college's existing and future needs, and is separated from the main campus by a road. The inconsistency is therefore considered to be minor and justified.

Direction 2.3 Heritage Conservation.

The Direction seeks to facilitate the conservation of items, places etc. that are identified in a study of the environmental heritage of the area, and items that are of heritage significance to Aboriginal culture and people. Part of the Petersham TAFE site is identified as Heritage Item 1185 in the Marrickville LEP 2011. The Heritage Map (HER_003) identifies the Item as on the southern half of the site and does not include land along the Margaret Street frontage. Pending registration of the proposed site subdivision, the Marrickville LEP 2011 will need to be updated to reflect the new Lot and DP number for the heritage item.

The planning proposal is consistent with all other section 117 Directions.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal includes current and proposed Land Zoning Map; Floor Space Ratio Map; and Height of Buildings Map.

It is recommended that the Gateway determination include a condition that the proposed Lot subdivision Development Application map be publicly exhibited with the planning proposal.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

t : Given the nature of the planning proposal a community consultation period of 28 days is proposed.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Рг

Proposal Assessment			and the second		
Principal LEP:					
Due Date :					
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Marrickville LEP 201	1 was published on 12 December 201	1.		
Assessment Criteria	l				
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is the best means for achieving a zoning that reflects the current use of the site as a residential dwelling house.				
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney and the draft Central District Plan. The Plan and the draft Plan include no specific Priorities or Actions that relate to educational establishments. However, the draft Plan states "the delivery of [educational] services is the responsibility of many agencies and organisations that need to consider existing and future demand." The subject land has been deemed, by Petersham TAFE, to be surplus to their existing and future needs and as such the planning proposal is considered to be consistent with the objectives and actions contained within the Metropolitan Planning framework. The planning proposal is consistent with Councils' key strategic Plan, the Marrickville Community Strategic Plan (Our Place, Our Vision) 2010, which defines the long term				
		egic directions for the community.			
Environmental social economic impacts :	Environmental: There is no known critical habitat or threatened species populations or ecological communities, or their habitats affecting this site and there are no other likely environmental impacts of the planning proposal.				
	Social and Economic: The planning proposal would result in the rezoning of the subject land to reflect its present use as a detached dwelling, consistent with surrounding residential uses. As such the proposed rezoning would not result in any social or economic impacts.				
Assessment Process	5				
Proposal type :	Consistent	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days		
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Department of Education and Communities Office of Environment and Heritage				
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			

If no, provide reasons :

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required,

If Other, provide reasons

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Covering letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Report - excerpt - 5 April 2016.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Resolution - 5 April 2016.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 1.pdf	Proposal	No
Attachment 4.pdf	Proposal	No

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Additional Information	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. The proposed Lot subdivision Development Application is to be publicly exhibited with the planning proposal.
	2. Community consultation is required for a minimum of 28 days.
	3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities:
	 Office of Environment and Heritage. Department of Education and Communities.
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter.
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported with conditions because: • it is consistent with State and subregional metropolitan strategy objectives; • it is seeking to rezone a small parcel of land within Petersham TAFE college that is occupied by a separate single storey dwelling, not used by the college, surplus to college's existing and future needs, and is separated from the main campus by a road;

Planning proposal to amend Marrickville LEP 2011 to rezone 85 Margaret Street, Petersham	
and apply planning controls.	

• it will allow the college to sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of or deal with the land as a standalone entity; and

• the Office of Strategic Lands was consulted and raised no concerns.

However, it is recommended that the Gateway determination include a condition that: • the proposed Lot subdivision Development Application map be publicly exhibited with the planning proposal.

Signature:

Kard Arushong LAREN MANSMENCE Date: 27/1/17

Printed Name:

м. М

ž.